



U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

"Opioid deprescribing as a (de-)Implementation Science Challenge

NIH Helping to End Addiction Long-term (HEAL) June 1, 2020

Stefan G. Kertesz, MD, MSc, FASAM

Professor of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham Physician, Birmingham Veterans Affairs medical center

Disclosures and my background

- I do not represent my government employers
- ▶ No pharmaceutical grants, honoraria, or business with them
- ▶ I own shares of Thermo Fisher, CVS Health, Zimmer Biomedical
- I'm an internist and addiction medicine physician
- Focused on vulnerable populations with research funded by NIH and VA HSR&D since 2002





Journal of the Association for Medical Education and Research in Substance Abuse (AMERSA) Published in association with the International Coalition for Addiction Studies Education and The International Society of Addiction Medicine

Kertesz S. G. Turning the tide or riptide? The changing opioid epidemic. Subst Abuse 2017; 38: 3–8. doi: 10.1080/08897077.2016.1261070

This is a fraught moment. A public health concern is involved.

My thesis

- \blacktriangleright ~10 million patients on long-term opioids for pain¹
- Stopping or tapering is a clinical intervention (for a person)
 - Clinically: we must move toward rigorous assessment of what accounts for **both** good **and** bad outcomes
- When health systems act to cause stoppage or tapering of opioids, that is a form of **de-implementation** (for the system)
 - De-implementation can be done well or poorly
 - We have a framework for studying implementation and deimplementation (Consolidated Framework Implementation Research)

1. Derived from: Mojtabai. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2018; 10.1002/pds.4278

The context

A crisis of opioids and the limits of prescription control: United States

ADDICTION

Stefan G. Kertesz¹ 💿 & Adam J. Gordon² 💿

Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Medicine and Medicine Service, Birmingham Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Birmingham, AL,

Rx, OUD & deaths rose

- Rose through 2012
- ► Per NESARC
 - ▶ Rx OUD (0.4% -> 0.8%)
 - ► Heroin OUD (0.2% -> 0.7%)
 - \blacktriangleright 53% whites "started with" pills²

1) Kertesz/Gordon. Addiction. 2019; doi.org/10.1111/add.14394 2) Martins. JAMA Psy. 2017; doi.org10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.0113

Rx declined

- ► Rx decline began in 2012
- Overdoses persisted
- ► An "imbalance"
 - ► strong Rx control
 - weak pain and addiction care
- Systematic opioid reduction is de-implementation

"De-implementation"

- "Reducing or stopping services or practices that are ineffective, unproven, harmful, overused or inappropriate"¹
- ▶ Prasad's categories. Practices that are²
 - Contradicted
 - Unproven
 - Novel interventions without data
- But, de-implementation "fits" easier on some treatments than others

1. Norton et al. Impl Sci. 2017; doi.org/10.1186/s13012-017-0655-z 2. Prasad & Ioannadis. Impl Sci. 2014; doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-9-1

De-implementation: a trickier fit for some problems than others

Antibiotics for routine URI

- ► A discrete diagnosis
 - virus, runny nose, cough
- Stakes low
- Every doctor trained
- Antibiotics ineffective

- Opioids for severe chronic pain
 - ► an **experience**: peripheral + central drivers
 - Chronic pain sits in a rehabilitation framework of multimorbidity
 - Stakes high
 - Doctors not trained
 - Opioids have a modest effect, on average

- De-implementation: "not starting"
- De-implementation: "not starting" or "tapering" or "stopping"?

De-implementation applies anyway

St NEWS

HEALTH NEWS

Opioid Prescriptions Are Down But Not Enough, CDC Finds



Use of Opioids at High Dosage (HDO)

Proportion receiving >90 MME indicates poor performance

MME 3 x higher in 2015 than 1999 **Major agency declares a failure to attain goals** **Establishes a metric that affects both monetary reimbursement and quality ratings**

Total MME overall, and in daily dose, are central But 5% of recipients consume 59% of MME¹ Long-term recipients are the de facto central target 1) Sun & Jena. Ann Intern Med. 2017. doi: 10.7326/M17-1408

De-implementation is happening



- Rx per capita in 2018 19% lower than in 2006 (NQVIA/CDC)
- Decreases are more concentrated for persons at higher dose & worse pain¹
- Our focus: ~10 million currently on opioids²
- All emphasis from payers and metrics is on reduction for them and preventing more of them
- 1. Olfson, Health Affairs. 2020; 10.1377/hlthaff.2019.00783
- 2. Mojtabai. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2018; 10.1002/pds.4278

Taper's Clinical Promise

Annals of Internal Medicine[®]

LATEST ISSUES CHANNELS CME/MOC IN THE CLINIC JOURNAL CLUB WEB EXCLUSIVES AUTHOR INFO

PREV ARTICLE | THIS ISSUE | NEXT ARTICLE

REVIEWS | 1 AUGUST 2017

Patient Outcomes in Dose Reduction or Discontinuation of Long-Term Opioid Therapy: A Systematic Review

40 studies with patient outcomes

- ▶ 5 were RCTs (total N=261 patients)
- Most short-term & voluntary
- ► None rated "good quality"
- Improvements in pain & pain related function
- But: No data on mandates, few data on harms such as suicide or transition to illicit use transition
- New trials, also with volunteers, ongoing

Frank et al. Annals of Internal Medicine. August 1, 2017

Taper's Clinical Troubles

Three declarations of 2019

- ► FDA Warning (April, 2019)
- CDC Clarification (April, 2019)
- ▶ HHS Guidance (October, 2019)

Data of 2019-20

- 6 observational papers with overdose, suicide, illicit drug use or hospitalization outcomes after stoppage (2019-20)¹⁻⁶
- ► Including $5x \uparrow$ suicide with d/c >90 days⁵
- ► None "prove" cause and effect
- 81% of doctors "reluctant" to care for a patient on long-term opioids (Quest)⁷
- 41% not willing to provide care for such patients⁸

(1) Glanz, 2019 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.2613 (2) Mark, 2019 doi: 10.1016/j.jsat.2019.05.001 (3) James, JGIM, 2019 (4)
 Perez, 10.1007/s11606-019-05301-2., 2019. (5) Oliva, 2020. 10.1136/bmj.m2836. (6) Coffin, PloS One, 2020.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0232538 (7) Quest Diagnostics survey, 2019. (8) Lagisetty, JAMA NO. 2019.
 doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.6928.

Clinical Questions we Must Study

- Does "taper" confer safety?
- Better we should ask:
- What distinguishes situations with good outcomes from bad ones?
 - Patient factors?
 - Medical factors?
 - Social context?
 - Speed of taper?
 - Consent of the patient?
- ► Why the suicides?



Have you lost someone with pain to suicide?

Our research team wants to prevent this from happening

See our survey: INSERT LINK 🥏

LIPE THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM

Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR)

CFIR components

Characteristics of individuals The intervention

Inner setting Process

Outer Setting

CFIR examples we can study:

Prescribers' competence, motivation, training

For which patients is the change promoted? Is individualization allowed?

Learning climate, psychological safety

Actions taken by the organization

External metrics, policies, payment and regulatory policies, and public declarations

"Pill dynamic" studies fall short here

Damschroder. Impl Sci. 2009 https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-4-50

Better metrics we should use to study de-implementation (a few examples)

Systems level metrics

Mortality after dose change

Hospitalization after change

Patient level metrics

Appropriateness of dose based on functional outcome documented

Underestimated or Neglected

Number of **patients leaving provider or** Patient perception that care processes system (denominator loss) are **consensual**

Kertesz, McCullough, Darnall & Varley. Promoting patient-centeredness in opioid deprescribing: a future for implementation science and policy scholarship (under revision)

Opioid taper/stoppage is a de-implementation research opportunity (& imperative)

- Clinical research: let's ask what differentiates helpful from harmful forms of taper & stoppage?
- Health systems research: let's ask how interventions are carried out, who does what, how are missteps identified, and what role are patients allowed to play in their care?
- Metrics for studying this problem now must move beyond pill dynamic studies to indicators of system change and effects on patients and families
- We have the right questions, and the tools, and the patients who wish to help us do this work. Let's do it.

Thank-you

Questions? <u>skertesz@uabmc.edu</u>

Follow me @StefanKertesz

thebmj

Associations between stopping prescriptions for opioids, length of opioid treatment, and overdose or suicide deaths in US veterans: observational evaluation

Elizabeth M Oliva,^{1,2} Thomas Bowe^{1,2} Ajay Manhapra,^{3,4,5,6} Stefan Kertesz,^{7,8} Jennifer M Hah,⁹ Patricia Henderson,¹ Amy Robinson,¹⁰ Meenah Paik,¹ Friedhelm Sandbrink^{11,12,13} Adam J Gordon,^{14,15,16} Jodie A Trafton^{1,2,17}

- Veterans who received any opioid analgesic FY13
- ► Outcomes: death from Overdose OR suicide → end of FY14 (2887 deaths)
- Independent variable: discontinuation or not, interacted with time of receipt before stoppage in Cox nonproportional hazard model

Deaths from suicide [†]5fold after 91-400 days, [†]8fold if >400 days receipt

Deaths from overdose similarly elevated

<u>Governmental</u>

- Congress (SUPPORT Act, etc)
- HHS FDA
- Dept of Justice & DEA
- CMS Medicare D policies
- State laws & regs
- Medical boards

Framing Voices

- Leading Journalists
- Advocates
- Government speakers
- Litigation language
- Medical journals

POLICY ACTORS

Guidances & Metrics

- CDC
- VA/DoD & Canadian Guidelines
 NCQA, National Quality Forum

Providers & Payors

- Pharmacy chains
- Pharmacy Benefit Managers
- Hospital Administration (and VA)
- Any hospital or chain
- Malpractice policy guidance