Using Cost-Effectiveness Analysis to Foster Dissemination of Effective Preventive Interventions

An Example From the POST Study



Margaret Kuklinski, PhD

Director, Social Development Research Group Associate Professor, School of Social Work **University of Washington**



Today's Objectives

- 1) Cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA)
- 2) Example CEA using the POST Study (Preventing Opioids through Successful Transitions)
- 3) Wrap-up: Pragmatic, useful information to support dissemination



Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

- <u>Goal</u>: Help decision makers use limited resources efficiently to achieve a policy or program objective
 - Considers costs <u>and</u> outcomes → Which options are good economic investments?
 - Best practices: Second Panel on Cost-Effectiveness in Health and Medicine (Neumann et al., 2016, Sanders et al., 2016)
- **<u>CEA</u>**: What is the **intervention cost per unit gain** in desired outcome?
 - Evaluated by incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER):

ICER = Δ Cost / Δ Effects = (C₂ - C₁) / (E₂ - E₁)

- Lower ICERs \rightarrow greater efficiency, generally more desirable
- ICER < decision maker willingness to pay \rightarrow cost-effective
- Non-economic factors equity, values also influence policy choices!





Preventing OUD in Incarcerated Young People

The Need

- 20% of incarcerated youth and young adults have OUD
- Skills coaching and social connections may prevent opioid use initiation or escalation and recidivism
- How intensive do supports need to be to be effective?

POST Study

Using SMART Design to Identify an Effective & Cost-Beneficial Approach to Preventing OUD in Justice-Involved Youth (MPIs Ahrens, Haggerty)

- <u>Aim 1</u>: Test preventive interventions of different intensities using a SMART* design
 - 430 justice-involved youth ages 16–25
 - Youth with & without prior substance use disorder
- <u>Aim 2</u>: Conduct Cost and Cost-Effectiveness Analyses







- Adolescent Community Reinforcement Approach (ACRA)
 - Evidence-based
 - o Intensive coaching, case management before & after release from confinement
 - Skills & relationships \rightarrow non-use more rewarding than use
- POST Study: Different ACRA intensities
 - Enhanced ACRA (E-ACRA, 24 weeks) \rightarrow ACRA + trauma-focused intervention
 - Low-intensity ACRA (ACRA Lite, 12 weeks) → 4 weeks ACRA + 8 weeks case management
- Tailor to youth with and without a prior SUD
 - Youth with a prior SUD \rightarrow E-ACRA vs. ACRA Lite
 - $_{\circ}$ Youth without a prior SUD \rightarrow ACRA Lite vs. usual care





POST Economic Evaluation

Key CEA Question

Are investments in more intensive interventions "worth it," in economic terms?

CEA for Youth With a Prior SUD: E-ACRA v. ACRA Lite

- ICER = (Cost_{E-ACRA} Cost_{ACRA Lite}) / (Effect_{E-ACRA} Effect_{ACRA Lite})
- Three outcomes: Opioid use initiation, opioid use escalation, recidivism
- ICER < decision maker willingness to pay \rightarrow Cost-effective
- Sensitivity analysis \rightarrow capture uncertainty in cost and effect estimates





Youth With Prior SUD

Stage 1 Interventions	Assess & Re-randomize After Week 4	Stage 2 Interventions



Preventing Opioid Use Disorder in Older Adolescents and Young Adults

* SMART: <u>S</u>equential, <u>M</u>ultiple <u>A</u>ssignment, <u>R</u>andomized <u>T</u>rial



Youth With Prior SUD

	Stage 1 Interventions	Assess & Re-randomize After Week 4	Stage 2 Interventions
	E-ACRA		
ĸ	ACRA-Lite		



Preventing Opioid Use Disorder in Older Adolescents and Young Adults

* SMART: <u>S</u>equential, <u>M</u>ultiple <u>A</u>ssignment, <u>R</u>andomized <u>T</u>rial



Youth With Prior SUD

	Stage 1 Interventions	Assess & Re-randomize After Week 4	Stage 2 Interventions
		Dandamiza	E-ACRA
	E-AURA	Randonnize	ACRA-Lite
R	ACRA-Lite		

E-ACRA

- Does longer intensive intervention lead to greater impact?
- Is longer intensive intervention cost-effective?



Preventing Opioid Use Disorder in Older Adolescents and Young Adults 9



Youth With Prior SUD

R	Stage 1 Interventions	Assess & Re-randomize After Week 4	Stage 2 Interventions
	E-ACRA	Randomize	E-ACRA
			ACRA-Lite
	ACRA-Lite	Illegal or problem use	E-ACRA
			ACRA-Lite
		No illegal or problem use	ACRA-Lite

E-ACRA

- Does longer intensive intervention lead to greater impact?
- Is longer intensive intervention cost-effective?

ACRA-Lite

- When ineffective, does increasing intensity strengthen impact?
- Is shift to greater intensity cost-effective?



* SMART: <u>Sequential</u>, <u>Multiple</u> <u>Assignment</u>, <u>Randomized</u> <u>Trial</u>



- 1) How much do ACRA & ACRA-Lite cost?
 - What does it cost to start up?
 - What does it cost to deliver once capacity built?
- 2) Are more intensive ACRA interventions worth it in relation to outcomes achieved?
 - Does the answer depend on whether youth have prior SUD?
 - SMART design increases information gained
- → Answers support future high-quality dissemination and implementation — essential to impact



Thank you!

Margaret Kuklinski, PhD

Director, Social Development Research Group Associate Professor, School of Social Work University of Washington

mrk63@uw.edu



NIH HEAL INITIATIVE Preventing Opioid Use Disorder in Older Adolescents and Young Adults